1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 44332) United States Attorney
2 3	MIRANDA KANE (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
4 5 6 7 8 9	BENJAMIN TOLKOFF (NYB 4294443) Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7296 Facsimile: (415) 436-6982 Benjamin.Tolkoff@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
14	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 11-0536 WHA
16	Plaintiff, ) ) STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER
17	v. ) CONTINUING MATTER AND EXCLUDING ) TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
18	JOSEPH PERKINS,
19	)
20	Defendant. )
21	
22	On November 1, 2011 the parties made a status appearance before the Court. The parties
23	requested, and the Court ordered, a continuance until November 22, 2011, on which date the
24	parties anticipate a change of plea. The stated purpose of the continuance request was to afford
25	defense counsel adequate time to prepare.
26	The parties also requested, and the Court ordered, that the time between November 1 and
27	November 22, 2011, would be excluded from the running of the speedy trial clock for effective
28	

1	preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that, taking into
2	account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases, good cause exists for this
3	extension. The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance
4	outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §
5	3161(h)(7)(A).
6	SO STIPULATED:
7	MELINDA HAAG
8	United States Attorney
9	
10	DATED: November 15, 2011  /s/  BENJAMIN P. TOLKOFF
11	Assistant United States Attorney
12	
13	DATED: November 15, 2011  /s/  RONALD TYLER
14	Attorney for JOSEPH PERKINS
15	For the reasons stated above, this matter is continued until November 22, 2011, for a
16	change of plea or trial setting. The Court finds that the exclusion of time from November 1,
17	through November 22, 2011 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance
18	outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161
19	(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective
20	preparation of counsel and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §
21	3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
22	SO ORDERED.
23	10° Phos
24	DATED: November 18, 2011.
25	William Alsup UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26	
27	

28